

1 JOHN O'CONNOR
O'Connor & Associates
2 One Embarcadero Center, Suite 1020
San Francisco, CA 94111
3 Telephone: 415-693-9960
Facsimile: 415-981-0222

4 BRUCE A. SINGAL
5 MICHELLE R. PEIRCE
DAMIEN C. POWELL
6 Donoghue, Barrett & Singal, P.C.
One Beacon Street
7 Boston, MA 02108
Telephone: (617) 720-5090
8 Facsimile: (617) 720-5092

9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 OAKLAND DIVISION

12
13 U.S. SMALL BUSINESS
ADMINISTRATION, as Receiver for
14 PROSPERO VENTURES, L.P.,

15 Plaintiff(s),

16 v.

17 JOHN M. SLOANE,

18 Defendant(s).

NO. 07-03739

**DEFENDANT JOHN M. SLOANE'S
RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT FOR
BREACH OF PARTNERSHIP
AGREEMENT AND DEMAND FOR
TRIAL BY JURY**

19
20 Defendant John M. Sloane responds to the numbered paragraphs of the Complaint as
21 follows:

22 1. This paragraph sets forth conclusions of law to which no reply is necessary. To
23 the extent this paragraph can be read as including factual allegations, those allegations are denied.

24 2. This paragraph sets forth conclusions of law to which no reply is necessary. To
25 the extent this paragraph can be read as including factual allegations, those allegations are denied.

26 3. This paragraph sets forth conclusions of law to which no reply is necessary. To
27 the extent this paragraph can be read as including factual allegations, those allegations are denied.
28

1 This paragraph alleges facts that are not within defendant's knowledge.

2 4. Defendant admits the first two sentences in this paragraph. The remainder of this
3 paragraph sets forth conclusions of law to which no reply is necessary. To the extent this
4 paragraph can be read as including factual allegations, those allegations are denied.

5 5. Admitted.

6 6. Admitted.

7 7. Admitted.

8 8. Defendant admits the dates and language in this paragraph, but states that the
9 document speaks for itself.

10 9. Defendant admits the dates and language in this paragraph, but states that the
11 document speaks for itself.

12 10. This paragraph sets forth conclusions of law to which no reply is necessary. To
13 the extent this paragraph can be read as including factual allegations, those allegations are denied.

14 11. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge and information concerning the
15 information contained in Prospero's books and records.

16 12. Admitted.

17 13. Admitted.

18 14. Defendant admits the dates and language in this paragraph, but states that the
19 document speaks for itself.

20 15. Defendant incorporates his responses as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 14
21 above.

22 16. Denied.

23 17. Denied.

24 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

25 FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

26 Plaintiff breached the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing, by among other
27 things, failing to provide Prospero an opportunity to cure.
28

1 SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

2 Plaintiff acted in bad faith and with unclean hands.

3 THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

4 Plaintiff is estopped from enforcing the contract because, among other reasons, it took
5 actions and made statements that were relied on by Prospero and defendant to their detriment.

6 FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

7 Plaintiff breached its fiduciary duties.

8 FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

9 SBA regulations (13 C.F.R. § 107.500 et. seq.), which are incorporated into the contract
10 and which were relied on by SBA, are invalid because they do not further the purpose of the
11 SBIC statute (15 U.S.C. §§ 661-697g).

12 SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

13 SBA regulations are vague, ambiguous and unenforceable under the due process clause of
14 the United States Constitution.

15 SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

16 Plaintiff failed to mitigate its damages.

17 EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

18 Plaintiff's actions are ultra vires.

19 Dated: October 18, 2007

O'CONNOR & ASSOCIATES

20
21 By: 

22 John O'Connor
23 Attorneys for Defendant,
JOHN M. SLOANE

24 DONOGHUE, BARRETT & SINGAL, P.C.
25 Bruce A. Singal
26 Michelle R. Peirce
27 Damien C. Powell
28 Attorneys for Defendant,
JOHN M. SLOANE